UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/771,605	02/03/2004	Rodric C. Fan	GENX16547 US	3431	
90323 Innovation Cou	7590 05/02/201 nsel LLP	1	EXAMINER		
21771 Stevens (Creek Blvd	YUN, EUGENE			
Ste. 200A Cupertino, CA 95014			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2618		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/02/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Astion Commence	10/771,605	FAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	EUGENE YUN	2618	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 F</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-33,35 and 36 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-33,35 and 36 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct should be shown in the correct should be shown in the should be shown in the should be	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the Idaa of the I	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)	4) 🖂 Imto::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	(PTO 412)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/771,605 Page 2

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 20-25 and 27-33 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lazaridis et al. (US 6,219,694) in view of Ichikawa et al. (US 7,200,409).

Referring to Claim 20, Lazaridis teaches a method of communication comprising:

Obtaining data (see col. 7, lines 1-4); and

Transmitting the report using one of SMTP, POP, IMAP, MIME, RFC-822, and IM protocols (see col. 10, lines 53-57) if the data satisfies a predefined condition, without receiving an external command to transmit (see col. 6, lines 7-20).

Lazaridis does not teach obtaining data about a physical status of a mobile unit, remotely receiving a configuration command about configurations for a report, automatically preparing the report in accordance with the configurations in the command, where the report incorporates the data. Ichikawa teaches obtaining data about a physical status of a mobile unit (see col. 3, lines 35-49), remotely receiving a configuration command about configurations for a report, automatically preparing the report in accordance with the configurations in the command (see col. 3, lines 20-23, lines 55-58, lines 63-64, col. 4, lines 2-4, lines 9-12, 19-22, and the other configurations stated in the reference), where the report incorporates the data (see end of

ABSTRACT). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Ichikawa to said device of Lazaridis in order to more efficiently report the status of a mobile unit.

Referring to Claim 21, Lazaridis teaches determining whether the data fulfills a predefined condition by comparing the data against a reference value (see col. 7, lines 36-45).

Referring to Claim 22, Lazaridis also teaches the data as at least one of position information, calculated information, physical parameters, and environmental parameters (see col. 6, lines 60-65).

Referring to Claim 23, Lazaridis also teaches time-stamping the status report (see col. 3, lines 20-24).

Referring to Claim 24, Lazaridis also teaches storing the status report for a predetermined period of time (see col. 8, lines 52-55).

Referring to Claim 25, Lazaridis also teaches counting a length of distance traveled or time passed since a previous transmission to determined if the data satisfies the predefined condition (see col. 3, lines 20-24).

Referring to Claim 27, Lazaridis also teaches comparing the data against an emergency condition and transmitting an alert signal if the data satisfies the emergency condition (see col. 1, line 66 to col. 2, line 4).

Referring to Claim 28, Lazaridis also teaches receiving an enabling command for adding new data to a database, and adding new data to the database before receiving a

Application/Control Number: 10/771,605

Art Unit: 2618

disabling command for disabling addition of new data to the database (see col. 8, lines 32-40).

Referring to Claim 29, Lazaridis also teaches preparing the status report in a human-readable format such that no format conversion is necessary before the status report is presented to a viewer (see col. 6, lines 7-20).

Referring to Claim 30, Lazaridis also teaches the human-readable format is one of HTML and text format (see col. 6, lines 7-20).

Referring to Claim 31, Lazaridis also teaches preparing the status report in a standard application format (see col. 6, lines 7-20).

Referring to Claim 32, Lazaridis also teaches encrypting the status report prior to transmission (see col. 6, lines 52-65).

Referring to Claim 33, Lazaridis also teaches receiving a message in one of SMTP, POP, IMAP, MIME, RFC-822, and Instant Messaging (IM) protocols; and authenticating the received message (see col. 10, lines 53-57).

3. Claims 1-19, 26, 35, and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lazaridis et al. (US 6,219,694) and Ichikawa et al. (US 7,200,409) and further in view of Thomas (US 7,366,522).

Referring to Claim 1, Lazaridis teaches a communication system comprising:

A mobile unit having a processor, a memory, and a wireless modem for generating a report (see col. 13, lines 6-19).

Lazaridis does not teach a user interface unit that generates and transmits a configuration command for configuring a report; and the mobile unit collecting data

about physical status of the mobile unit, automatically using the data to generate a report according to the configuration command, and transmitting the report to the user interface unit. Ichikawa teaches a user interface unit that generates and transmits a configuration command for configuring a report (see col. 3, lines 20-23, lines 55-58, lines 63-64, col. 4, lines 2-4, lines 9-12, 19-22, and the other configurations stated in the reference); and the mobile unit collecting data about physical status of the mobile unit, automatically using the data to generate a report according to the configuration command (see col. 3, lines 35-49), and transmitting the report to the user interface unit (see last 2 lines of ABSTRACT). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Ichikawa to said device of Lazaridis in order to more efficiently report the status of a mobile unit.

The combination of Lazaridis and Ichikawa does not teach formatting the report according to an electronic mail protocol. Thomas teaches formatting the report according to an electronic mail protocol (see col. 3, lines 60-64 noting that the user interface unit is the location monitoring server). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Thomas to the modified device of Lazaridis and Ichikawa in order to better utilize unused service capacity.

Referring to Claim 2, Lazaridis also teaches the status report transmitted from the mobile unit to the user interface unit according to one of SMTP, POP, IMAP, MIME, RFC-822, and IM protocols (see col. 10, lines 53-57).

Page 6

Referring to Claim 3, Ichikawa also teaches a detection component coupled to the processor, wherein the detection component comprises a sensor for measuring a physical parameter (see col. 3, lines 35-42).

Referring to Claim 4, Ichikawa also teaches a means for determining a position of the mobile unit (see col. 3, lines 35-42).

Referring to Claim 5, Ichikawa also teaches a receiver for receiving positioning data from satellites, allowing the processor to use the positioning data for determining a position of the mobile unit (see col. 2, lines 61-67).

Referring to Claim 6, Lazaridis also teaches the memory storing the status report for a predefined length of time after the status report is transmitted to the user interface unit (see col. 3, lines 7-14).

Referring to Claim 7, Lazaridis also teaches a plurality of mobile units including the mobile unit, wherein the user interface unit is connected to a backend processing unit for combining status reports generated by the plurality of mobile units (see col. 3, lines 36-46).

Referring to Claim 8, Lazaridis also teaches an input device for receiving information from a user and an output device for presenting information to a user (see col. 8, lines 11-25).

Referring to Claim 9, Ichikawa also teaches the report format changeable through the user interface unit (see col. 3, lines 20-23, lines 55-58, lines 63-64, col. 4, lines 2-4, lines 9-12, 19-22, and the other configurations stated in the reference).

Application/Control Number: 10/771,605

Art Unit: 2618

Referring to Claim 10, Lazaridis also teaches reconfiguring the status report according to a command received from the user interface unit (see col. 6, lines 7-20).

Referring to Claim 11, Lazaridis also teaches a database for manually entering peripheral data, wherein the peripheral data is used for compliance with the report format (see col. 8, lines 32-40).

Referring to Claim 12, Ichikawa also teaches the peripheral data comprising at least one of landmarks, maps, speed limits, and traffic light positions for the mobile unit to use as a positional reference in the status report, wherein the positional references indicates a position of the mobile unit (see col. 6, lines 51-56).

Referring to Claim 13, Thomas also teaches adding landmarks to the database for use in the status report (see col. 4, lines 52-60).

Referring to Claim 14, Thomas also teaches transmitting one or more landmarks to the mobile unit for use as a positional reference in the status report (see col. 4, lines 52-60).

Referring to Claim 15, Lazaridis teaches a mobile communication device comprising:

A detection component for measuring a status (see col. 2, lines 61-65).

A processor connected to the detection component, wherein the processor is for generating a report incorporating the status (see col. 13, lines 6-19); and

A wireless modem and memory connected to the processor, wherein the memory is for storing the status report (see col. 3, lines 7-14).

Art Unit: 2618

Lazaridis does not teach measuring a physical status and generating the report according to an external configuration command. Ichikawa teaches measuring a physical status and generating the report according to an external configuration command (see col. 3, lines 20-23, lines 55-58, lines 63-64, col. 4, lines 2-4, lines 9-12, 19-22, and the other configurations stated in the reference), wherein the status report is transmitted once the physical status fulfills a condition (see col. 3, lines 35-49 and last 2 lines of ABSTRACT). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Ichikawa to said device of Lazaridis in order to more efficiently report the status of a mobile unit. The combination of Lazaridis and Ichikawa does not teach the status report transmitted according to a predetermined electronic mail protocol. Thomas teaches the status report transmitted according to a predetermined electronic mail protocol (see col. 3, lines 60-64 noting that the user interface unit is the location monitoring server). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Thomas to the modified device of Lazaridis and Ichikawa in order to better utilize unused service capacity.

Referring to Claim 16, Lazaridis also teaches the electronic mail protocol as one of SMTP, POP, IMAP, MIME, RFC-822, and IM protocols (see col. 10, lines 53-57).

Referring to Claim 17, Ichikawa also teaches a means for determining a position of the mobile communication device (see col. 3, lines 35-42).

Referring to Claim 18, Ichikawa also teaches a database for storing landmarks, maps, speed limits, and traffic light positions for the mobile unit to use as a positional reference in the location of the mobile communication device (see col. 6, lines 51-56).

Referring to Claim 19, Lazaridis also teaches the condition as one of:

A passage of a predetermined amount of time since a previous transmission, a predetermined relationship between the physical parameter and a reference value, a minimum distance traveled since a previous transmission, and a command from an external source to transmit the status report (see col. 6, lines 7-20).

Referring to Claim 26, Thomas also teaches reconfiguring the status report in response to a configuration command, wherein the configuration command is received in an e-mail format (see col. 3, lines 60-64). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Thomas to the modified device of Lazaridis and Ichikawa in order to better utilize unused service capacity.

Referring to Claim 35, Lazaridis teaches a mobile device for communication via a wireless network, comprising:

means for obtaining data (see col. 6, line 60 to col. 7, line 4).

Lazaridis does not teach means for obtaining physical and positioning data and receiving a configuration command. Ichikawa teaches means for obtaining physical and positioning data (see col. 3, lines 35-49) and receiving a configuration command (see col. 3, lines 20-23, lines 55-58, lines 63-64, col. 4, lines 2-4, lines 9-12, 19-22, and the other configurations stated in the reference), means for preparing a report using the

Art Unit: 2618

physical data and the positioning data, wherein the report includes data requested in the configuration command (see col. 3, lines 35-49), and means for transmitting the report receiving an external command to transmit (see end of ABSTRACT). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Ichikawa to said device of Lazaridis in order to more efficiently report the status of a mobile unit.

The combination of Lazaridis and Ichikawa does not teach transmitting the report in an electronic mail format. Thomas teaches transmitting the report in an electronic mail format (see col. 3, lines 60-64 noting that the user interface unit is the location monitoring server). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Thomas to the modified device of Lazaridis and Ichikawa in order to better utilize unused service capacity.

Claim 36 has similar limitations as claim 35.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 2/24/2011 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that Ichikawa does not teach a user interface unit that generates and transmits a configuration command and a mobile unit that automatically generates a report according to the configuration command, further arguing that

Ichikawa does not teach that the report generated by the mobile terminal can be remotely configured via a configuration command.

Firstly, Claim 1 does not have any limitation regarding "remotely configuring" anything. Therefore, the examiner considers that applicant's arguments regarding claim 1 most and the rejection for claim 1 is maintained. The same applies for claims 15, 35 and 36.

Regarding Claim 20, this is the only claim that has the limitation of remotely receiving a configuration command about configurations for a report. However, the limitations do not state anything about the mobile terminal automatically generating a report. The claim limitation reads "automatically preparing the report in accordance with the configurations in the command" without stating anything that the report is actually prepared by the mobile terminal. This means that in claim 20, the report can be automatically generated by any device, not necessarily the mobile terminal. In addition, the limitations of the claim do not state that the device is configured remotely. The limitations state that a configuration command is remotely received and then the configuration report is automatically prepared. This is the same as configuring a mobile terminal as a result from a request, command or any signal from a remote device, which the above cited passage teaches. Nothing in the limitations of any of the independent claims specifically state anything about a mobile terminal "remotely configured" from a another device and therefore, the examiner maintains his rejection.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/771,605 Page 12

Art Unit: 2618

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EUGENE YUN whose telephone number is (571)272-7860. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nay A. Maung can be reached on (571)272-7882. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,605 Page 13

Art Unit: 2618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Eugene Yun Primary Examiner Art Unit 2618

/Eugene Yun/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2618 /E. Y./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2618